

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/078,394	02/21/2002	Tatsuo Akiyama	219722US2S	5615		
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 12/29/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER				
1940 DUKE STREET			VAN DOREN, BETH			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3623			
				·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/29/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action - Sefore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/078,394	AKIYAMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Beth Van Doren	3623	

zororo uro i ming or uri i ippour ziror	Examiner		Art Unit	1				
	Beth Van Doren		3623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S.APPLICATION IN COND	ITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a I wing replies: (1) an amend otice of Appeal (with appea ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The	Notice of ment, aff I fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspondin shortened statutory period for r than three months after the	g amount reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as				
	-11 11 07 OFD 44 07		<b>6</b> 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filin	a a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search			ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		terially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		finally rej	ected claims.	A responsible for the second s				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		f Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			inpliant Amondment	(I TOL-524).				
	llowable if submitted in a s	eparate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: (12.01)	vided below or appended.	line fall	II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:		11.55		ا با حدہ				
Claim(s) objected to:	Office of the first	r North Co.	• • •					
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1,4,7,6,10,11,14,17,18,20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of disufficient reasons why the	ne affidav	vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	a Notice of Appeal, but provercome <u>all</u> rejections undry and was not earlier pres	ior to the der appe ented. S	date of filing a brief, al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claim	ns after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			,					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see attached.</u>								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. ☐ Other:	Element Mill	1,116	Beth Van I	brei				
13. ☐ Other:  10. 3 de lo. 3 de		A	U3623					
and the state of t	and the state of the second	$P_{\epsilon}$	atent EXO	miner				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

 $\sim 17 - 15 \mu$ 

Art Unit: 3623

## **Advisory Action**

- 1. The following advisory action is in response to communications received 11/22/2006.
- 2. Applicant's amendments have been entered. These amendments overcome the 35 USC § 112, 1rst paragraph, rejections set forth in the previous office action.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with regards to the 35 USC § 103 rejections based on Wojcik et al (US 5,666,493) in view of De La Motte (US 2005/0108140) have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. In the remarks, Applicant argues that De La Motte does not disclose the value of a product being determined by a buyer, but rather that the prices of products are objectively determined by a third person.

In response to argument this argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees. De La Motte discloses that a third party creates an objective, standardized rating of a supplier so that a buyer can negotiate with the supplier on an objective basis of that supplier's quality (as defined by the rating). See paragraph 12. Thus, the buyer and not a third party is negotiating with a supplier. Taking the reference as a whole, see also paragraphs 5-7, 11-3, 21, 31, and 47, which disclose price versus quality comparisons, buyers submitting RFQ's for products to suppliers, and the system allowing negotiations to occur over such RFQs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beth Van Doren whose telephone number is (571) 272-6737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

•

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 20, 2006 In applicant to opproceeding the sand in the Beth Va

34. P. Ca - 25 111 - 5

and the involved of Private PAIR on your comprehension of the contract of the

Sometimes and the

to the floor that we was a firm appropriate my fine obtaining the con-

Same of the same o

is the or ingree on a result for the control is sometime.

TO SHIOME SERVICE

5-16 (mil.) 300-736-9199 (F.N. Ch. A. O.R. C. A.N.A.D.A.) or 571 (17.4), 19. 1. 1